arXiv:1507.06501v1 [math.DG] 23 Jul 2015

On complex deformations of Kähler-Ricci solitons

NEFTON PALI

Abstract

We obtain a formal obstruction, i.e. a necessary condition for the existence of polarised complex deformations of Kähler-Ricci solitons. This obstruction is expressed in terms of the harmonic part of the variation of the complex structure.

1 The obstruction result

Despite the remarkable work of Podesta-Spiro, [Po-Sp], not much is known on the existence of complex deformations of Kähler-Ricci solitons. In this paper, we provide an effective result on this topic. Namely, given any polarised family of complex deformations over a Kähler-Ricci soliton (polarised by the symplectic form of the initial Kähler-Ricci soliton), we can effectively establish a necessary condition for this family to exist.

Let (X, J, g, ω) be a Fano manifold with $\omega = \operatorname{Ric}_{_J}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega > 0$ is the unique volume form such that $\int_X \Omega = 1$. (We denote by $\operatorname{Ric}_{_J}(\Omega)$ the Chern-Ricci form associated to the volume form Ω). We introduce the Ω-divergence operator acting on vector fields ξ as

$$\operatorname{div}^{\Omega} \xi \quad := \quad \frac{d(\xi \neg \Omega)}{\Omega}.$$

It is well known (see [Fut]), that the Lie algebra of J-holomorphic vector fields $H^0(X, T^{1,0}_{X,J})$ identifies with the space of complex valued functions

$$\Lambda^{\Omega}_{g,J} := \overline{-\operatorname{div}^{\Omega} H^0(X, T^{1,0}_{X,J})} \subset C^{\infty}_{\Omega}(X, \mathbb{C})_0,$$

where $C^{\infty}_{\Omega}(X, \mathbb{C})_0$ is the space of smooth complex valued functions with vanishing integral with respect to Ω. We denote by $\mathcal{H}^{0,1}_{g,\Omega}(T_{X,J})$ the space of T_X-valued $(0,1)$-forms which are harmonic with respect to the Hodge-Witten Laplacian determined by the volume form Ω.

Assume now (X, J, g, ω) is a compact Kähler-Ricci soliton and consider the functions $f := \log \frac{dV_g}{\Omega}$, $F := f - \int_X f\Omega$. The solution of the variational stability problem in [Pal2] shows that the vanishing harmonic cone

$$\mathcal{H}^{0,1}_{g,\Omega}(T_{X,J})_0 \quad := \quad \left\{ A \in \mathcal{H}^{0,1}_{g,\Omega}(T_{X,J}) \mid \int_X |A|^2_g \, F \, \Omega = 0 \right\},$$

is relevant for the deformation theory of compact Kähler-Ricci solitons. In the Dancer-Wang Kähler-Ricci soliton case $\mathcal{H}^{0,1}_{g,\Omega}(T_{X,J})_0 \neq \{0\}$, thanks to a result in [Ha-Mu].

For any $A \in \mathcal{H}^{0,1}_{g,\Omega}(T_{X,J})$ we define the $\mathbb{R}$-linear functional

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_A : \Lambda^{\Omega}_{g,J} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R},\\ \Phi_A(u) &:= \int_X \left[2\,\mathrm{Re}\, u \left\langle \nabla^2_g f, A^2\right\rangle_g - \left\langle J\nabla_g f \neg \nabla_g A, i\,\overline{u} \times_J A \right\rangle_g\right]\Omega.\end{aligned}$$

With these notations we can state our obstruction result.

Theorem 1 *Let (X, J, g, ω) be a compact Kähler-Ricci soliton, let $(J_t, \omega)_{t\in(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)}$ be a smooth family of Kähler-Ricci solitons with $J_0 = J$ and let $A \in \mathcal{H}^{0,1}_{g,\Omega}(T_{X,J})$ be the harmonic part of the variation $\dot{J}_0$. Then $A \in \mathcal{H}^{0,1}_{g,\Omega}(T_{X,J})_0$ and $\Phi_A = 0$.*

The fact that $A \in \mathcal{H}^{0,1}_{g,\Omega}(T_{X,J})_0$ is a statement in our previous work [Pal2]. We will show also that for any $A \in \mathcal{H}^{0,1}_{g,\Omega}(T_{X,J})$ holds the identity

$$\int_X |A|^2_g F\Omega = -\int_X \left[2\left\langle \nabla^2_g f, A^2\right\rangle_g - \left\langle J\nabla_g f \neg \nabla_g A, JA \right\rangle_g\right]\Omega,$$

whose right-hand side shows some similarity with the integral $\Phi_A(u)$.

2 Properties of the first variation of Perelman's H map

We need to remind a few basic facts proved in [Pal2]. We first remind some of the notations in [Pal2]. Let $\Omega > 0$ be a smooth volume form over an oriented compact and connected Riemannian manifold (X, g). We equip the set of smooth Riemannian metrics $\mathcal{M}$ over X with the scalar product

$$(u, v) \longmapsto \int_X \langle\, u, v\rangle_g \,\Omega, \tag{1}$$

for all $u, v \in L^2(X, S^2_{\mathbb{R}} T^*_X)$. Let P^*_g be the formal adjoint of some operator P with respect to the metric g. We observe that the operator $P^{*_\Omega}_g := e^f P^*_g\left(e^{-f}\bullet\right)$, with $f := \log \frac{dV_g}{\Omega}$, is the formal adjoint of P with respect to the scalar product (1). We define the real weighted Laplacian operator $\Delta^{\Omega}_g := \nabla^{*_\Omega}_g \nabla_g$. We notice in particular the identity $\mathrm{div}^{\Omega}\, \nabla_g u = -\Delta^{\Omega}_g u$, for all functions u.

Over a Fano manifold (X, J, g, ω), with $\omega = \mathrm{Ric}_J(\Omega)$, $\int_X \Omega = 1$. we define the linear operator $B^{\Omega}_{g,J}$ acting on smooth complex valued functions u as $B^{\Omega}_{g,J} u := \mathrm{div}^{\Omega}(J\nabla_g u)$. This is a first order differential operator. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}B^{\Omega}_{g,J} u &= \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(J\nabla^2_g u\right) - df \cdot J\nabla_g u\\ &= g(\nabla_g u, J\nabla_g f),\end{aligned}$$

since J is g-anti-symmetric. We define the weighted complex Laplacian operator $\Delta^{\Omega}_{g,J} := \Delta^{\Omega}_g - iB^{\Omega}_{J,g}$, acting on smooth complex valued functions. We remind the identity $\Lambda^{\Omega}_{g,J} = \mathrm{Ker}(\Delta^{\Omega}_{g,J} - 2\mathbb{I})$, (see [Fut]).

We remind now that the Ω-Bakry-Emery-Ricci tensor of the metric g is defined by the formula

$$\mathrm{Ric}_g(\Omega) := \mathrm{Ric}(g) \quad + \quad \nabla_g d \log \frac{dV_g}{\Omega}.$$

A Riemannian metric g is called a Ω-shrinking Ricci soliton if $g = \mathrm{Ric}_g(\Omega)$. We define the following fundamental objects

$$h \quad \equiv \quad h_{g,\Omega} := \mathrm{Ric}_g(\Omega) - g,$$

$$2H \quad \equiv \quad 2H_{g,\Omega} := -\Delta^{\Omega}_g f + \mathrm{Tr}_g\, h + 2f,$$

with $f := \log \frac{dV_g}{\Omega}$. We define also the normalised function $\underline{H} := H - \int_X H\Omega$. We denote by $\mathcal{V}_1$ the space of smooth positive volume forms with unitary integral over X. For any $V \in T_{\mathcal{V}_1}$, we define $V^*_{\Omega} := V/\Omega$.

We notice now that over a polarised Fano manifold (X, ω), $\omega \in 2\pi c_1(X)$, the space of ω-compatible complex structures $\mathcal{J}_{\omega}$ embeds naturally inside $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{V}_1$ via the Chern-Ricci form. The image of this embedding is

$$\mathcal{S}_{\omega} \quad := \quad \left\{(g, \Omega) \in \mathcal{M}_{\omega} \times \mathcal{V}_1 \mid \omega = \mathrm{Ric}_J(\Omega), J = -\omega^{-1} g\right\},$$

with $\mathcal{M}_{\omega} := -\omega \cdot \mathcal{J}_{\omega} \subset \mathcal{M}$. The fact that the space $\mathcal{J}_{\omega}$ may be singular in general implies that also the space $\mathcal{S}_{\omega}$ may be singular. We denote by $\mathrm{TC}_{\mathcal{S}_{\omega},(g,\Omega)}$ the tangent cone of $\mathcal{S}_{\omega}$ at an arbitrary point $(g, \Omega) \in \mathcal{S}_{\omega}$. This is by definition the union of all tangent vectors of $\mathcal{S}_{\omega}$ at the point (g, Ω). We notice that, (see for example [Pal1]), the tangent cone $\mathrm{TC}_{\mathcal{M}_{\omega},g}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{\omega}$ at an arbitrary point $g \in \mathcal{M}_{\omega}$ satisfies the inclusion

$$\mathrm{TC}_{\mathcal{M}_{\omega},g} \subseteq \mathbb{D}^J_{g,[0]}, \tag{2}$$

with

$$\mathbb{D}^J_{g,[0]} \quad := \quad \{v \in C^{\infty}\left(X, S^2_{\mathbb{R}} T^*_X\right) \;\mid\; v = -J^* v J, \overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}} v^*_g = 0\},$$

with $v^*_g := g^{-1} v$. It has been showed in [Pal2] that for any $(g, \Omega) \in \mathcal{S}_{\omega}$ holds the inclusion

$$\mathrm{TC}_{\mathcal{S}_{\omega},(g,\Omega)} \subseteq \mathbb{T}^J_{g,\Omega}, \tag{3}$$

with

$$\mathbb{T}^J_{g,\Omega} \quad := \quad \Big\{(v, V) \in \mathbb{D}^J_{g,[0]} \times T_{\mathcal{V}_1} \mid 2dd^c_J V^*_{\Omega} = -d\left(\nabla^{*_\Omega}_g v^*_g \neg \omega\right) \Big\}.$$

(We will use the definition $2d^c_J := i(\overline{\partial}_J - \partial_J)$ in this paper). We remind (see [Pal2]) that a point $(g, \Omega) \in \mathcal{S}_{\omega}$ is a Kähler-Ricci soliton if and only if $\underline{H}_{g,\Omega} = 0$. Furthermore,

$$2\underline{H}_{g,\Omega} = -(\Delta^{\Omega}_{g,J} - 2\mathbb{I})F \in \Lambda^{\Omega,\perp}_{g,J} \cap C^{\infty}_{\Omega}(X, \mathbb{R})_0,$$

for all $(g,\Omega) \in \mathcal{S}_\omega$. The infinitesimal properties of the map $(g,\Omega) \in \mathcal{S}_\omega \longmapsto \underline{H}_{g,\Omega}$ are explained in the next sub-section.

2.1 Triple splitting of the space $\mathbb{T}^J_{g,\Omega}$

In [Pal2], we introduce a pseudo-Riemannian metric G over $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{V}_1$ which is positive defined over $\mathbb{T}^J_{g,\Omega}$ for any $(g,\Omega) \in \mathcal{S}_\omega$, with $J := -\omega^{-1} g$. We denote by

$$\Lambda^{\Omega,\perp}_{g,J} \quad := \quad \left[\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta^{\Omega}_{g,J} - 2\mathbb{I})\right]^{\perp_\Omega} \subset C^\infty_\Omega(X,\mathbb{C})_0,$$

the L^2_Ω-orthogonal space to $\Lambda^{\Omega}_{g,J}$ inside $C^\infty_\Omega(X,\mathbb{C})_0$. By abuse of notations we will denote by $G_{g,\Omega}$ the scalar product over $\Lambda^{\Omega,\perp}_{g,J}$, induced by the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \eta : \Lambda^{\Omega,\perp}_{g,J} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{0,1}_{g,\Omega}(T_{X,J}) \quad &\longrightarrow \quad \mathbb{T}^J_{g,\Omega} \\ (\psi, A) \quad &\longmapsto \quad \left(g\left(\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}} \nabla_{g,J} \overline{\psi} + A\right), -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Re}\left[(\Delta^{\Omega}_{g,J} - 2\mathbb{I})\psi\right]\Omega\right). \end{aligned}$$

Explicitely (see [Pal2]),

$$\begin{aligned} G_{g,\Omega}(\varphi,\psi) \quad &= \quad \frac{1}{2}\int_X \left[(\Delta^{\Omega}_{g,J} - 2\mathbb{I})\varphi \cdot \overline{\psi} + (\Delta^{\Omega}_{g,J} - 2\mathbb{I})\psi \cdot \overline{\varphi}\right]\Omega \\ &+ \quad \frac{1}{2}\int_X \mathrm{Im}\left[(\Delta^{\Omega}_{g,J} - 2\mathbb{I})\varphi\right]\mathrm{Im}\left[(\Delta^{\Omega}_{g,J} - 2\mathbb{I})\psi\right]\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

For any $(g,\Omega) \in \mathcal{S}_\omega$, we introduce in [Pal2] the vector spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^J_{g,\Omega} \quad &:= \quad \left\{u \in \Lambda^{\Omega,\perp}_{g,J} \mid (\Delta^{\Omega}_{g,J} - 2\mathbb{I})\, u = \overline{(\Delta^{\Omega}_{g,J} - 2\mathbb{I})\, u}\right\}, \\ \mathbb{O}^J_{g,\Omega} \quad &:= \quad \left(\mathbb{E}^J_{g,\Omega}\right)^{\perp_G} \cap \Lambda^{\Omega,\perp}_{g,J}, \end{aligned}$$

and we denote by $[g,\Omega]_\omega := \mathrm{Symp}^0(X,\omega) \cdot (g,\Omega) \subset \mathcal{S}_\omega$ the orbit of the point (g,Ω) under the action of the identity component of the group of smooth symplectomorphisms $\mathrm{Symp}^0(X,\omega)$ of X. The map η restricts to a G-isometry

$$\eta : \mathbb{O}^J_{g,\Omega} \quad \longrightarrow \quad T_{[g,\Omega]_\omega,(g,\Omega)}.$$

The positivity of the metric $G_{g,\Omega}$ over $\Lambda^{\Omega,\perp}_{g,J}$, combined with an elliptic argument (see [Pal2]) implies the decomposition

$$\Lambda^{\Omega,\perp}_{g,J} \quad = \quad \mathbb{O}^J_{g,\Omega} \oplus_G \mathbb{E}^J_{g,\Omega},$$

Over a compact Kähler-Ricci soliton (X,J,g,ω), we introduce the operator

$$P^{\Omega}_{g,J} \quad := \quad (\Delta^{\Omega}_{g,J} - 2\mathbb{I})\overline{(\Delta^{\Omega}_{g,J} - 2\mathbb{I})}.$$

This is a non-negative self-adjoint real elliptic operator with respect to the L^2_Ω-hermitian product. The restriction of the differential of the map map $(g,\Omega) \in \mathcal{S}_\omega \longmapsto \underline{H}_{g,\Omega}$ over the space $\Lambda^{\Omega,\perp}_{g,J}$, identifies, via the isomorphism η, with the map

$$\begin{aligned} D_{g,\Omega}\underline{H} : \Lambda^{\Omega,\perp}_{g,J} &\longrightarrow \Lambda^{\Omega,\perp}_{g,J} \cap C^\infty_\Omega\left(X,\mathbb{R}\right)_0 \\ \psi &\longmapsto \frac{1}{4} P^\Omega_{g,J} \operatorname{Re}\psi. \end{aligned}$$

This map restricts to an isomorphism

$$D_{g,\Omega}\underline{H} : \mathbb{E}^J_{g,\Omega} \longrightarrow \Lambda^{\Omega,\perp}_{g,J} \cap C^\infty_\Omega\left(X,\mathbb{R}\right)_0 ,$$

(see [Pal2] for the technical details), and

$$\mathbb{O}^J_{g,\Omega} = \operatorname{Ker} D_{g,\Omega}\underline{H} \cap \Lambda^{\Omega,\perp}_{g,J} .$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{Ker} P^\Omega_{g,J} \cap C^\infty_\Omega\left(X,\mathbb{R}\right)_0 = \left\{\operatorname{Re} u \mid u \in \Lambda^\Omega_{g,J}\right\} =: \operatorname{Re}\Lambda^\Omega_{g,J}$ and

$$P^\Omega_{g,J} C^\infty_\Omega\left(X,\mathbb{R}\right)_0 = \Lambda^{\Omega,\perp}_{g,J} \cap C^\infty_\Omega\left(X,\mathbb{R}\right)_0 .$$

In general for any $(g,\Omega) \in \mathcal{S}_\omega$ Kähler-Ricci soliton holds the identity

$$\operatorname{Ker} D_{g,\Omega}\underline{H} \cap \mathbb{T}^J_{g,\Omega} = T_{[g,\Omega]_\omega,(g,\Omega)} \oplus_G \mathcal{H}^{0,1}_{g,\Omega}\left(T_{X,J}\right),$$

with $J := -\omega^{-1} g$. We finally notice that applying the finiteness theorem (see for example [Ebi], proposition 6.6, page 26), to the real elliptic operator $P^\Omega_{g,J} : C^\infty_\Omega\left(X,\mathbb{R}\right)_0 \longrightarrow C^\infty_\Omega\left(X,\mathbb{R}\right)_0$, we deduce the L^2_Ω-orthogonal decomposition

$$C^\infty_\Omega\left(X,\mathbb{R}\right)_0 = \left[\Lambda^{\Omega,\perp}_{g,J} \cap C^\infty_\Omega\left(X,\mathbb{R}\right)_0\right] \oplus_\Omega \operatorname{Re}\Lambda^\Omega_{g,J}. \tag{4}$$

Remark 1 We denote by $\Lambda^\Omega_{g,\mathbb{R}} := \operatorname{Ker}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Delta^\Omega_g - 2\mathbb{I}) \subset C^\infty_\Omega(X,\mathbb{R})_0$, and by

$$\Lambda^{\Omega,\perp}_{g,\mathbb{R}} := \left[\operatorname{Ker}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Delta^\Omega_g - 2\mathbb{I})\right]^{\perp_\Omega} \subset C^\infty_\Omega(X,\mathbb{R})_0,$$

its L^2_Ω-orthogonal inside $C^\infty_\Omega(X,R)_0$. It is easy to see that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \chi : \Lambda^{\Omega,\perp}_{g,\mathbb{R}} \cap C^\infty_\Omega(X,\mathbb{R})_0 &\longrightarrow T_{[g,\Omega]_\omega,(g,\Omega)}, \\ u &\longmapsto \Big(2\omega\, \overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}} \nabla_g u, \left(B^\Omega_{g,J} u\right) \Omega\Big), \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism. Thus, there exists an isomorphism map

$$\begin{aligned} \tau : \mathbb{O}^J_{g,\Omega} &\longrightarrow i\Lambda^{\Omega,\perp}_{g,\mathbb{R}} \\ \theta &\longmapsto iu : \theta - iu \in \Lambda^\Omega_{g,J}. \end{aligned}$$

3 Variation formulas for the Ω-divergence operators

For any $u, v \in C^\infty\left(X, S^2T^*_X\right)$ we define in [Pal2] the real valued 1-form

$$M_g(u,v)(\xi) \quad := \quad 2\nabla_g v(e_k, u^*_g e_k, \xi) + \nabla_g u(\xi, v^*_g e_k, e_k),$$

for all $\xi \in T_X$. We show now the following important lemma.

Lemma 1 *The first variation of the operator valued map*

$$(g,\Omega) \mapsto \nabla^{*\Omega}_g : C^\infty\left(X, S^2T_X\right) \longrightarrow C^\infty\left(X, T^*_X\right),$$

in arbitrary directions (v, V) *is given by the formula*

$$2\left[\left(D_{g,\Omega}\nabla^{*\bullet}_{\bullet}\right)(v,V)\right]u \quad = \quad M_g(v,u) - \; 2u \cdot \left(\nabla^{*\Omega}_g v^*_g + \nabla_g V^*_\Omega\right). \tag{5}$$

Proof We first differentiate the identity defining the covariant derivative of a symmetric 2-tensor u in the direction v. We infer

$$\dot{\nabla}_g u(\xi,\eta,\mu) \quad = \quad -u\left(\dot{\nabla}_g(\xi,\eta),\mu\right) - u\left(\eta, \dot{\nabla}_g(\xi,\mu)\right),$$

where $\dot{\nabla}_g := (D_g \nabla_\bullet)(v)$. Using the variation formula for the Levi-Civita connection in [Bes], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\dot{\nabla}_g u(\xi,\eta,\mu) \quad &= \quad -u\left(\nabla_{g,\xi} v^*_g \eta + \nabla_{g,\eta} v^*_g \xi - \left(\nabla_g v^*_g \eta\right)^T_g \xi, \mu\right) \\ &- \quad u\left(\eta, \nabla_{g,\xi} v^*_g \mu + \nabla_{g,\mu} v^*_g \xi - \left(\nabla_g v^*_g \mu\right)^T_g \xi\right). \end{aligned}$$

We transform the term

$$\begin{aligned} u\left(\left(\nabla_g v^*_g \eta\right)^T_g \xi, \mu\right) \quad &= \quad g\left(u^*_g \left(\nabla_g v^*_g \eta\right)^T_g \xi, \mu\right) \\ &= \quad g\left(\left(\nabla_g v^*_g \eta\right)^T_g \xi, u^*_g \mu\right) \\ &= \quad g\left(\xi, \nabla_g v^*_g(u^*_g\mu, \eta)\right) \\ &= \quad \nabla_g v(u^*_g \mu, \eta, \xi). \end{aligned}$$

We deduce the variation formula

$$\begin{aligned} 2\dot{\nabla}_g u(\xi,\eta,\mu) \quad &= \quad -u\left(\nabla_{g,\xi} v^*_g \eta + \nabla_{g,\eta} v^*_g \xi, \mu\right) + \nabla_g v(u^*_g \mu, \xi, \eta) \\ &- \quad u\left(\eta, \nabla_{g,\xi} v^*_g \mu + \nabla_{g,\mu} v^*_g \xi\right) + \nabla_g v(u^*_g \eta, \xi, \mu). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using the fact that u is symmetric we infer

$$\begin{aligned}2\left(g^{-1}\neg\dot{\nabla}_g u\right)\mu &= 2u\left(\nabla_g^* v_g^*,\mu\right)+\nabla_g v(u_g^*\mu,e_k,e_k)\\ &- u\left(\nabla_{g,e_k} v_g^*\mu+\nabla_{g,\mu} v_g^* e_k,e_k\right)+\nabla_g v(e_k,u_g^* e_k,\mu),\end{aligned}$$

where $g^{-1}\in C^\infty(X,S^2T_X)$ and $(e_k)_k$ is a g-orthonormed basis of $T_{X,p}$ which diagonalises u at the point p. We observe however that the right hand-side of the previous equality is independent of the choice of the g-orthonormed basis $(e_k)_k$ thanks to the intrinsic definition of trace. Simplifying, we deduce

$$2\left(g^{-1}\neg\dot{\nabla}_g u\right)\mu = 2u\left(\nabla_g^* v_g^*,\mu\right)+\nabla_g v(u_g^*\mu,e_k,e_k)-\nabla_g v(\mu,u_g^* e_k,e_k). \tag{6}$$

We can compute now the first variation of the expression

$$\nabla_g^{*\Omega} u \;=\; -g^{-1}\neg\nabla_g u+\nabla_g f\neg u,$$

with $f\equiv f_{g,\Omega}:=\log\frac{dV_g}{\Omega}$. We observe the identity

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\left(D_{g,\Omega}\nabla^{*\bullet}_{\bullet}\right)(v,V)\right]u &= (v_g^* g^{-1})\neg\nabla_g u-g^{-1}\neg\dot{\nabla}_g u\\ &+ \left[\left(D_{g,\Omega}\nabla_\bullet f_{\bullet,\bullet}\right)(v,V)\right]\neg u.\end{aligned}$$

Let $(e_k)_k$ be a g-orthonormed local frame of T_X such that $\nabla_g e_k(p)=0$, for some arbitrary point p. Using (6) and the variation formulas

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\nabla_{g_t} f_t\right)=\nabla_{g_t}\dot{f}_t-\dot{g}_t^*\nabla_{g_t} f_t, \tag{7}$$

$$\dot{f}_t=\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}_{g_t}\dot{g}_t-\dot{\Omega}_t^*, \tag{8}$$

we obtain the equalities at the point p,

$$\begin{aligned}2\left[\left(D_{g,\Omega}\nabla^{*\bullet}_{\bullet}\right)(v,V)\right]u(\mu) &= 2\nabla_g u(e_k,v_g^* e_k,\mu)-2u\left(\nabla_g^* v_g^*,\mu\right)\\ &- \nabla_g v(u_g^*\mu,e_k,e_k)+\nabla_g v(\mu,u_g^* e_k,e_k)\\ &+ u\left(\nabla_g\left(\operatorname{Tr}_g v-2V_\Omega^*\right)-2v_g^*\nabla_g f,\mu\right)\\ &= 2\nabla_g u(e_k,v_g^* e_k,\mu)-2u\left(\nabla_g^{*\Omega} v_g^*,\mu\right)\\ &- \nabla_g v(u_g^*\mu,e_k,e_k)+\nabla_g v(\mu,u_g^* e_k,e_k)\\ &+ u\left(\nabla_g\left(\operatorname{Tr}_g v-2V_\Omega^*\right),\mu\right)\\ &= M_g(v,u)(\mu)-\ 2u\left(\nabla_g^{*\Omega} v_g^*+\nabla_g V_\Omega^*,\mu\right),\end{aligned}$$

thanks to the identity at the point p,

$$\nabla_g v(u_g^*\mu, e_k, e_k) \quad = \quad u\left(\nabla_g \operatorname{Tr}_g v, \mu\right).$$

In order to see this last fact, we observe the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
u\left(\nabla_g \operatorname{Tr}_g v, \mu\right) &= g\left(u_g^* \nabla_g \operatorname{Tr}_g v, \mu\right) \\
&= g\left(\nabla_g \operatorname{Tr}_g v, u_g^*\mu\right) \\
&= \left(d\nabla_g \operatorname{Tr}_g v\right)\left(u_g^*\mu\right) \\
&= (u_g^*\mu).v(e_k, e_k) \\
&= \nabla_g v(u_g^*\mu, e_k, e_k),
\end{aligned}$$

at the point p. We obtain the required variation formula. □

In a similar way we compute the first variation formula for the operator $\operatorname{div}_g^\Omega$ acting on 1-forms.

Lemma 2 *The first variation of the operator valued map*

$$(g, \Omega) \mapsto \operatorname{div}_g^\Omega : C^\infty\left(X, T_X^*\right) \longrightarrow C^\infty\left(X, \mathbb{R}\right),$$

in arbitrary directions (v, V) *is given by the formula*

$$\Big[\left(D_{g,\Omega} \operatorname{div}_\bullet^\bullet\right)(v, V)\Big]\, \alpha \quad = \quad -\left\langle \nabla_g \alpha_g^*, v_g^* \right\rangle_g + \ 2\alpha \cdot \left(\nabla_g^{*\Omega} v_g^* + \nabla_g V_\Omega^*\right).$$

We include the proof for readers convenience.

Proof Let α be a 1-form and let ξ, η be two smooth vector fields. Differentiating the identity

$$\xi.\left(\alpha \cdot \eta\right) \quad = \quad \nabla_{g,\xi}\alpha \cdot \eta + \alpha \cdot \nabla_{g,\xi}\eta,$$

with respect to the variable g we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
2\dot{\nabla}_g \alpha\left(\xi, \eta\right) &= -\alpha \cdot 2\dot{\nabla}_g\left(\xi, \eta\right) \\
&= -\alpha \cdot \left(\nabla_{g,\xi} v_g^* \cdot \eta + \nabla_{g,\eta} v_g^* \cdot \xi\right) + \nabla_g v\left(\alpha_g^*, \xi, \eta\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We notice indeed the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha \cdot \Big[\left(\nabla_{g,\bullet} v_g^* \cdot \eta\right)_g^T \cdot \xi\Big] &= g\Big(\alpha_g^*, \left(\nabla_{g,\bullet} v_g^* \cdot \eta\right)_g^T \cdot \xi\Big) \\
&= g\Big(\nabla_{g,\alpha_g^*} v_g^* \cdot \eta, \xi\Big) \\
&= \nabla_g v\left(\alpha_g^*, \xi, \eta\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We deduce

$$\begin{aligned} 2\left(g^{-1}\neg\dot{\nabla}_g\alpha\right) &= 2\alpha\cdot\nabla_g^* v_g^* + \alpha_g^*.\operatorname{Tr}_g v \\ &= \alpha\cdot\left(2\nabla_g^* v_g^* + \nabla_g \operatorname{Tr}_g v\right). \end{aligned}$$

We can compute now the first variation of the expression

$$\operatorname{div}_g^{\Omega}\alpha = g^{-1}\neg\nabla_g\alpha - \alpha\cdot\nabla_g f.$$

We observe the identities

$$\begin{aligned} 2\left[\left(D_{g,\Omega}\operatorname{div}^{\bullet}_{\bullet}\right)(v,V)\right]\alpha &= -2(v_g^* g^{-1})\neg\nabla_g\alpha + 2g^{-1}\neg\dot{\nabla}_g\alpha \\ &- 2\alpha\cdot\left[\left(D_{g,\Omega}\nabla_{\bullet} f_{\bullet,\bullet}\right)(v,V)\right] \\ &= -2\nabla_g\alpha\left(e_k, v_g^* e_k\right) + \alpha\cdot\left(2\nabla_g^* v_g^* + \nabla_g \operatorname{Tr}_g v\right) \\ &- \alpha\cdot\left(\nabla_g\left(\operatorname{Tr}_g v - 2V_{\Omega}^*\right) - 2v_g^*\cdot\nabla_g f\right). \end{aligned}$$

We infer the required variation formula. □

We can compute now a first variation formula for the double divergence operator $\operatorname{div}_g^{\Omega}\nabla_g^{*\Omega}$. We observe first the trivial identity

$$\begin{aligned} \left[D_{g,\Omega}\left(\operatorname{div}^{\bullet}_{\bullet}\nabla^{*\bullet}_{\bullet}\right)(v,V)\right]v &= \left[\left(D_{g,\Omega}\operatorname{div}^{\bullet}_{\bullet}\right)(v,V)\right]\nabla_g^{*\Omega}v \\ &+ \operatorname{div}_g^{\Omega}\left\{\left[\left(D_{g,\Omega}\nabla^{*\bullet}_{\bullet}\right)(v,V)\right]v\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and we explicit the last term;

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\operatorname{div}_g^{\Omega}\left\{\left[\left(D_{g,\Omega}\nabla^{*\bullet}_{\bullet}\right)(v,V)\right]v\right\} \\ = \;& e_l.\left[2\nabla_g v\left(e_k, v_g^* e_k, e_l\right) + \nabla_g v\left(e_l, v_g^* e_k, e_k\right) - 2v\left(\nabla_g^{*\Omega}v_g^* + V_{\Omega}^*, e_l\right)\right] \\ - \;& 2\nabla_g v\left(e_k, v_g^* e_k, \nabla_g f\right) - \nabla_g v\left(\nabla_g f, v_g^* e_k, e_k\right) + 2v\left(\nabla_g^{*\Omega}v_g^* + V_{\Omega}^*, \nabla_g f\right). \end{aligned}$$

Developing further we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\operatorname{div}_g^{\Omega}\left\{\left[(D_{g,\Omega}\nabla^{*\bullet}_{\bullet})\,(v,V)\right] v\;\right\}\\
= \quad & 2g(\nabla_{g,e_l}\nabla_{g,e_k}v_g^*\cdot v_g^* e_k,e_l)+2g(\nabla_{g,e_k}v_g^*\cdot\nabla_{g,e_l}v_g^* e_k,e_l)\\
+ \quad & \nabla^2_{g,e_l,e_l}v\left(v_g^*e_k,e_k\right)+g(\nabla_{g,e_l}v_g^*\cdot\nabla_{g,e_l}v_g^*e_k,e_k)\\
- \quad & 2\nabla_{g,e_l}v\left(e_l,\nabla_g^{*\Omega}v_g^*+V_\Omega^*\right)-2v\Big(\;\nabla_{g,e_l}\left(\nabla_g^{*\Omega}v_g^*+V_\Omega^*\right),e_l\Big)\\
- \quad & 2g(\nabla_{g,e_k}v_g^*\cdot v_g^*e_k,\nabla_g f)-\nabla_g v\left(\nabla_g f,v_g^*e_k,e_k\right)\;+2v\left(\nabla_g^{*\Omega}v_g^*+V_\Omega^*,\nabla_g f\right)\\
= \quad & 2g(v_g^*e_k,\nabla_{g,e_l}\nabla_{g,e_k}v_g^*e_l)+2g(\nabla_{g,e_l}v_g^*e_k,\nabla_{g,e_k}v_g^*e_l)\\
- \quad & \Delta_g^{\Omega}v\left(v_g^*e_k,e_k\right)+g(\nabla_{g,e_l}v_g^*e_k,\nabla_{g,e_l}v_g^*e_k)\\
+ \quad & 2\nabla_g^{*\Omega}v\;\cdot\left(\nabla_g^{*\Omega}v_g^*+V_\Omega^*\right)-2g\Big(\;\nabla_{g,e_l}\left(\nabla_g^{*\Omega}v_g^*+V_\Omega^*\right),v_g^*e_l\Big)\\
- \quad & 2g(v_g^*e_k,\nabla_{g,e_k}v_g^*\cdot\nabla_g f).
\end{aligned}
$$

If we set

$$\widehat{\nabla_g v_g^*}\,(\xi,\eta):=\nabla_g v_g^*\,(\eta,\xi)\,,$$

then the last expression writes as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\operatorname{div}_g^{\Omega}\left\{\left[(D_{g,\Omega}\nabla^{*\bullet}_{\bullet})\,(v,V)\right] v\;\right\}\\
= \quad & 2g\left(v_g^*e_k,\nabla_{g,e_l}\widehat{\nabla_g v_g^*}\,(e_l,e_k)\right)+2g\left(\nabla_g v_g^*\,(e_l,e_k)\,,\widehat{\nabla_g v_g^*}\,(e_l,e_k)\right)\\
- \quad & g\left(\Delta_g^{\Omega}v_g^*\cdot v_g^*e_k,e_k\right)+|\nabla_g v_g^*|_g^2\\
+ \quad & 2\nabla_g^{*\Omega}v\;\cdot\left(\nabla_g^{*\Omega}v_g^*+V_\Omega^*\right)-2\left\langle\nabla_g\left(\nabla_g^{*\Omega}v_g^*+V_\Omega^*\right)\;,v_g^*\right\rangle_g\\
- \quad & 2g\left(v_g^*e_k,\widehat{\nabla_g v_g^*}\,(\nabla_g f,e_k)\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

We infer the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{div}^{\Omega}_{g}\left\{\Big[\left(D_{g,\Omega}\nabla^{*\bullet}_{\bullet}\right)(v,V)\Big]\,v\right\} &= -\frac{1}{4}\Delta^{\Omega}_{g}|v|^{2}_{g}\\
&- \left\langle\nabla^{*\Omega}_{g}\widehat{\nabla_{g}v^{*}_{g}},v^{*}_{g}\right\rangle_{g}+\left\langle\widehat{\nabla_{g}v^{*}_{g}},\nabla_{g}v^{*}_{g}\right\rangle_{g}\\
&+ \nabla^{*\Omega}_{g}v\cdot\left(\nabla^{*\Omega}_{g}v^{*}_{g}+\nabla_{g}V^{*}_{\Omega}\right)\\
&- \left\langle\nabla_{g}\left(\nabla^{*\Omega}_{g}v^{*}_{g}+\nabla_{g}V^{*}_{\Omega}\right)\,,v^{*}_{g}\right\rangle_{g}.
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain in conclusion the variation identity

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big[D_{g,\Omega}\left(\mathrm{div}^{\bullet}_{\bullet}\,\nabla^{*\bullet}_{\bullet}\right)(v,V)\Big]\,v &= -\frac{1}{4}\Delta^{\Omega}_{g}|v|^{2}_{g}\\
&- \left\langle\nabla^{*\Omega}_{g}\widehat{\nabla_{g}v^{*}_{g}},v^{*}_{g}\right\rangle_{g}+\left\langle\widehat{\nabla_{g}v^{*}_{g}},\nabla_{g}v^{*}_{g}\right\rangle_{g}\\
&+ 2\nabla^{*\Omega}_{g}v\cdot\left(\nabla^{*\Omega}_{g}v^{*}_{g}+\nabla_{g}V^{*}_{\Omega}\right)\\
&- \left\langle\nabla_{g}\left(2\nabla^{*\Omega}_{g}v^{*}_{g}+\nabla_{g}V^{*}_{\Omega}\right),v^{*}_{g}\right\rangle_{g}. \qquad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

4 The second variation of Perelman's H map

Lemma 3 *The Hessian form $\nabla_{G}DH(g,\Omega)$ of Perelman's map $(g,\Omega)\in\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{V}_{1}\longmapsto H_{g,\Omega}$, with respect to the pseudo-Riemannian structure G at the point $(g,\Omega)\in\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{V}_{1}$ in arbitrary directions (v,V) is given by the expression*

$$\begin{aligned}
2\nabla_{G}DH(g,\Omega)(v,V;v,V) &= -\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\mathcal{L}^{\Omega}_{g}v,v\right\rangle_{g}-\Delta^{\Omega}_{g}\left[\frac{1}{4}\left|v\right|^{2}_{g}+(V^{*}_{\Omega})^{2}\right]\\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\left|v\right|^{2}_{g}+(V^{*}_{\Omega})^{2}-\frac{1}{2}G_{g,\Omega}\left(v,V;v,V\right)\\
&- 2\left|\nabla^{*\Omega}_{g}v^{*}_{g}+\,\nabla_{g}V^{*}_{\Omega}\right|^{2}_{g}\\
&+ \left\langle\nabla_{g}\left(2\nabla^{*\Omega}_{g}v^{*}_{g}+3\nabla_{g}V^{*}_{\Omega}\right),v^{*}_{g}\right\rangle_{g}\\
&+ \left\langle\nabla^{*\Omega}_{g}v^{*}_{g},\nabla^{*\Omega}_{g}v^{*}_{g}+2\,\nabla_{g}V^{*}_{\Omega}\right\rangle_{g}\\
&+ V^{*}_{\Omega}\Big(\;\mathrm{div}^{\Omega}\,\nabla^{*\Omega}_{g}v^{*}_{g}+\langle v,h_{g,\Omega}\rangle_{g}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof We consider a smooth curve $(g_t, \Omega_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{V}_1$ with $(g_0, \Omega_0) = (g, \Omega)$ and with arbitrary speed $(\dot{g}_0, \dot{\Omega}_0) = (v, V)$. We showe in [Pal2] that the G-covariant derivative ∇_G of its speed, in the speed direction, is given by the expressions

$$\begin{aligned}
(\theta_t, \Theta_t) &\equiv \nabla_G(\dot{g}_t, \dot{\Omega}_t)(\dot{g}_t, \dot{\Omega}_t),\\
\theta_t &:= \ddot{g}_t + \dot{g}_t\left(\dot{\Omega}_t^* - \dot{g}_t^*\right),\\
\Theta_t &:= \ddot{\Omega}_t + \frac{1}{4}\left[|\dot{g}_t|_t^2 - 2(\dot{\Omega}_t^*)^2 - G_{g_t,\Omega_t}(\dot{g}_t, \dot{\Omega}_t; \dot{g}_t, \dot{\Omega}_t)\right]\Omega_t.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\nabla_G DH(g_t, \Omega_t)(\dot{g}_t, \dot{\Omega}_t; \dot{g}_t, \dot{\Omega}_t) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2}H(g_t, \Omega_t) - D_{g_t,\Omega_t}H(\theta_t, \Theta_t).$$

Using the first variation formula for H in [Pal2] we obtain the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\nabla_G DH(g_t, \Omega_t)(\dot{g}_t, \dot{\Omega}_t; \dot{g}_t, \dot{\Omega}_t)\\
= & \frac{d}{dt}\left[(\Delta_{g_t}^{\Omega_t} - 2\mathbb{I})\dot{\Omega}_t^* - \operatorname{div}_{g_t}^{\Omega_t}\left(\nabla_{g_t}^{*\Omega_t}\dot{g}_t + d\dot{\Omega}_t^*\right) - \langle \dot{g}_t, h_t\rangle_{g_t}\right]\\
- & (\Delta_{g_t}^{\Omega_t} - 2\mathbb{I})\Theta_t^* + \operatorname{div}_{g_t}^{\Omega_t}\left(\nabla_{g_t}^{*\Omega_t}\theta_t + d\Theta_t^*\right) + \langle \theta_t, h_t\rangle_{g_t}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the identity (9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\nabla_G DH(g_t, \Omega_t)(\dot{g}_t, \dot{\Omega}_t; \dot{g}_t, \dot{\Omega}_t)\\
= & 2(\Delta_{g_t}^{\Omega_t} - \mathbb{I})\left(\frac{d}{dt}\dot{\Omega}_t^* - \Theta_t^*\right)\\
+ & 2\langle \nabla_g d\dot{\Omega}_t^*, \dot{g}_t\rangle_{g_t} - 2\langle \nabla_{g_t}^{*\Omega_t}\dot{g}_t^* + \nabla_{g_t}\dot{\Omega}_t^*, \nabla_{g_t}\dot{\Omega}_t^*\rangle_{g_t}\\
+ & \operatorname{div}_{g_t}^{\Omega_t}\nabla_{g_t}^{*\Omega_t}(\theta_t - \ddot{g}_t)\\
+ & \frac{1}{4}\Delta_{g_t}^{\Omega_t}|\dot{g}_t|_{g_t}^2 + \left\langle \nabla_{g_t}^{*\Omega_t}\widehat{\nabla_{g_t}\dot{g}_t^*}, \dot{g}_t^*\right\rangle_{g_t} - \left\langle \widehat{\nabla_{g_t}\dot{g}_t^*}, \nabla_{g_t}\dot{g}_t^*\right\rangle_{g_t}\\
- & 2\nabla_{g_t}^{*\Omega_t}\dot{g}_t\cdot\left(\nabla_{g_t}^{*\Omega_t}\dot{g}_t^* + \nabla_{g_t}\dot{\Omega}_t^*\right) + \left\langle \nabla_{g_t}\left(2\nabla_{g_t}^{*\Omega_t}\dot{g}_t^* + \nabla_{g_t}\dot{\Omega}_t^*\right), \dot{g}_t^*\right\rangle_{g_t}\\
+ & \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{R}}\left[\left(\theta_t^* - \frac{d}{dt}\dot{g}_t^*\right)h_t^* - \dot{g}_t^*\left(\dot{h}_t^* - \dot{g}_t^* h_t^*\right)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Rearranging the previous expression, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\nabla_G DH(g_t,\Omega_t)(\dot{g}_t,\dot{\Omega}_t;\dot{g}_t,\dot{\Omega}_t)\\
= \; & 2(\Delta^{\Omega_t}_{g_t} - \mathbb{I})\left(\frac{d}{dt}\dot{\Omega}^*_t - \Theta^*_t\right) + \frac{1}{4}\Delta^{\Omega_t}_{g_t}|\dot{g}_t|^2_{g_t}\\
+ \; & \langle \nabla_{g_t} d\dot{\Omega}^*_t, \dot{g}_t\rangle_{g_t} - 2|\nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t}\dot{g}^*_t + \nabla_{g_t}\dot{\Omega}^*_t|^2_{g_t}\\
+ \; & \operatorname{div}^{\Omega_t}_{g_t}\nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t}(\theta_t - \ddot{g}_t)\\
+ \; & \left\langle \nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t}\widehat{\nabla_{g_t}\dot{g}^*_t}, \dot{g}^*_t\right\rangle_{g_t} - \left\langle \widehat{\nabla_{g_t}\dot{g}^*_t}, \nabla_{g_t}\dot{g}^*_t\right\rangle_{g_t}\\
+ \; & 2\left\langle \nabla_{g_t}\left(\nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t}\dot{g}^*_t + \nabla_{g_t}\dot{\Omega}^*_t\right), \dot{g}^*_t\right\rangle_{g_t}\\
+ \; & \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{R}}\left[\left(\theta^*_t - \frac{d}{dt}\dot{g}^*_t\right)h^*_t - \dot{g}^*_t\left(\dot{h}^*_t - \dot{g}^*_t h^*_t\right)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Using the expression of θ_t, we develop the term

$$\operatorname{div}^{\Omega_t}_{g_t}\nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t}(\theta_t - \ddot{g}_t) \;=\; \operatorname{div}^{\Omega_t}\nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t}\left[\dot{\Omega}^*_t\dot{g}^*_t - (\dot{g}^*_t)^2\right].$$

For this purpose we remind a few elementary divergence type identities. For any smooth, function u, vector field ξ and endomorphism section A of T_X holds the identities

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla^{*\Omega}_g(uA) &= -A\cdot\nabla_g u + u\nabla^{*\Omega}_g A,\\
\operatorname{div}^{\Omega}(u\xi) &= \langle\nabla_g u,\xi\rangle_g + u\operatorname{div}^{\Omega}\xi,\\
\nabla^{*\Omega}_g A^2 &= -\mathrm{Tr}_g(\nabla_g A\cdot A) + A\nabla^{*\Omega}_g A.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore if A is g-symmetric then holds also the formulas

$$\operatorname{div}^{\Omega}(A\cdot\xi) = -\left\langle\nabla^{*\Omega}_g A,\xi\right\rangle_g + \langle A,\nabla_g\xi\rangle_g, \tag{10}$$

$$\operatorname{div}^{\Omega}\mathrm{Tr}_g(\nabla_g A\cdot A) = -\left\langle\nabla^{*\Omega}_g\widehat{\nabla_g A}, A\right\rangle_g + \left\langle\widehat{\nabla_g A},\nabla_g A\right\rangle_g. \tag{11}$$

For readers convinience we show (10) and (11) in the appendix. Using the previous formulas we obtain the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div}^{\Omega_t} \nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t} \left[\dot{\Omega}^*_t \dot{g}^*_t - (\dot{g}^*_t)^2\right] &= \operatorname{div}^{\Omega_t} \left[-\dot{g}^*_t \nabla_{g_t} \dot{\Omega}^*_t + \dot{\Omega}^*_t \nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t} \dot{g}^*_t\right] \\
&+ \operatorname{div}^{\Omega_t} \left[\operatorname{Tr}_{g_t} \left(\nabla_{g_t} \dot{g}^*_t \cdot \dot{g}^*_t\right) - \dot{g}^*_t \nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t} \dot{g}^*_t\right] \\
&= - \langle \nabla_{g_t} d\dot{\Omega}^*_t, \dot{g}_t \rangle_{g_t} + 2\langle \nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t} \dot{g}^*_t, \nabla_{g_t} \dot{\Omega}^*_t \rangle_{g_t} \\
&+ \dot{\Omega}^*_t \operatorname{div}^{\Omega_t} \nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t} \dot{g}^*_t \\
&- \left\langle \nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t} \widehat{\nabla_{g_t} \dot{g}^*_t}, \dot{g}^*_t \right\rangle_{g_t} + \left\langle \widehat{\nabla_{g_t} \dot{g}^*_t}, \nabla_{g_t} \dot{g}^*_t \right\rangle_{g_t} \\
&+ |\nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t} \dot{g}^*_t|^2_{g_t} - \left\langle \dot{g}^*_t, \nabla_{g_t} \nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t} \dot{g}^*_t \right\rangle_{g_t} \\
&= -\left\langle \nabla_{g_t} \left(\nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t} \dot{g}^*_t + \nabla_{g_t} \dot{\Omega}^*_t \right), \dot{g}^*_t \right\rangle_{g_t} \\
&+ \langle \nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t} \dot{g}^*_t, \nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t} \dot{g}^*_t + 2\nabla_{g_t} \dot{\Omega}^*_t \rangle_{g_t} \\
&+ \dot{\Omega}^*_t \operatorname{div}^{\Omega_t} \nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t} \dot{g}^*_t \\
&- \left\langle \nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t} \widehat{\nabla_{g_t} \dot{g}^*_t}, \dot{g}^*_t \right\rangle_{g_t} + \left\langle \widehat{\nabla_{g_t} \dot{g}^*_t}, \nabla_{g_t} \dot{g}^*_t \right\rangle_{g_t} .
\end{aligned}$$

Pluging this identity in the last expression of the Hessian of H we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\nabla_G DH(g_t, \Omega_t)(\dot{g}_t, \dot{\Omega}_t; \dot{g}_t, \dot{\Omega}_t) \\
= \; & 2(\Delta^{\Omega_t}_{g_t} - \mathbb{I}) \left(\frac{d}{dt} \dot{\Omega}^*_t - \Theta^*_t \right) + \frac{1}{4} \Delta^{\Omega_t}_{g_t} |\dot{g}_t|^2_{g_t} \\
+ \; & \langle \nabla_{g_t} d\dot{\Omega}^*_t, \dot{g}_t \rangle_{g_t} - 2|\nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t} \dot{g}^*_t + \nabla_{g_t} \dot{\Omega}^*_t|^2_{g_t} \\
+ \; & \left\langle \nabla_{g_t} \left(\nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t} \dot{g}^*_t + \nabla_{g_t} \dot{\Omega}^*_t \right), \dot{g}^*_t \right\rangle_{g_t} \\
+ \; & \langle \nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t} \dot{g}^*_t, \nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t} \dot{g}^*_t + 2\nabla_{g_t} \dot{\Omega}^*_t \rangle_{g_t} + \dot{\Omega}^*_t \operatorname{div}^{\Omega_t} \nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t} \dot{g}^*_t \\
+ \; & \dot{\Omega}^*_t \langle \dot{g}_t, h_t \rangle_{g_t} - \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \mathcal{L}^{\Omega_t}_{g_t} \dot{g}_t - L_{\nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t} \dot{g}^*_t + \nabla_{g_t} \dot{\Omega}^*_t} g_t, \dot{g}_t \right\rangle_{g_t} ,
\end{aligned}$$

thanks to the variation formula of h in [Pal2]. Rearranging the previous expresion, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\nabla_G DH(g_t,\Omega_t)(\dot g_t,\dot\Omega_t;\dot g_t,\dot\Omega_t)\\
= {} & 2(\Delta^{\Omega_t}_{g_t}-\mathbb{I})\left(\frac{d}{dt}\dot\Omega^*_t-\Theta^*_t\right)+\frac{1}{4}\Delta^{\Omega_t}_{g_t}|\dot g_t|^2_{g_t}\\
- {} & 2|\nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t}\dot g^*_t+\nabla_{g_t}\dot\Omega^*_t|^2_{g_t}\\
+ {} & \left\langle\nabla_{g_t}\left(2\nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t}\dot g^*_t+3\nabla_{g_t}\dot\Omega^*_t\right),\dot g^*_t\right\rangle_{g_t}\\
+ {} & \langle\nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t}\dot g^*_t,\nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t}\dot g^*_t+2\nabla_{g_t}\dot\Omega^*_t\rangle_{g_t}\\
+ {} & \dot\Omega^*_t\Big(\operatorname{div}^{\Omega_t}\nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t}\dot g^*_t+\langle\dot g_t,h_t\rangle_{g_t}\Big)-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\mathcal{L}^{\Omega_t}_{g_t}\dot g_t,\dot g_t\right\rangle_{g_t}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the conclusion follows from the expression of Θ_t. □

In [Pal2] we show that the space G-orthogonal to the tangent to the orbit of a point $(g,\Omega)\in\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{V}_1$, under the action of the identity component of the diffeomorphism group, is

$$\mathbb{F}_{g,\Omega} \;:=\; \left\{(v,V)\in T_{\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{V}_1}\mid\nabla^{*\Omega}_g v^*_g+\nabla_g V^*_\Omega=0\right\}.$$

Corollary 1 *The Hessian form $\nabla_G DH(g,\Omega)$ of Perelman's map $(g,\Omega)\in\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{V}_1\longmapsto H_{g,\Omega}$, with respect to the pseudo-Riemannian structure G at the point $(g,\Omega)\in\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{V}_1$ in arbitrary directions $(v,V)\in\mathbb{F}_{g,\Omega}$, is given by the expression*

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\nabla_G DH(g,\Omega)(v,V;v,V)\\
= {} & -\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\left(\mathcal{L}^{\Omega}_g+2\nabla_g\nabla^{*\Omega}_g\right)v,v\right\rangle_g\\
- {} & \frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta^{\Omega}_g-2\mathbb{I}\right)\left[\frac{1}{2}|v|^2_g+(V^*_\Omega)^2-\frac{1}{2}G_{g,\Omega}(v,V;v,V)\right]\\
+ {} & V^*_\Omega\langle v,h_{g,\Omega}\rangle_g.
\end{aligned}$$

5 Application of the weighted Bochner identity

We observe that the formal adjoint of the $\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}}$ operator with respect to the hermitian product

$$\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\omega,\Omega}:=\int_X\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_\omega\,\Omega, \tag{12}$$

is the operator

$$\overline{\partial}^{*_{g,\Omega}}_{T_{X,J}} \quad := \quad e^f \overline{\partial}^{*_g}_{T_{X,J}} \left(e^{-f} \bullet\right).$$

With this notation, we define the anti-holomorphic Ω-Hodge-Witten Laplacian operator acting on T_X-valued q-forms as

$$\Delta^{\Omega,-J}_{T_{X,g}} \quad := \quad \frac{1}{q} \overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}} \overline{\partial}^{*_{g,\Omega}}_{T_{X,J}} + \frac{1}{q+1} \overline{\partial}^{*_{g,\Omega}}_{T_{X,J}} \overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}},$$

with the usual convention $\infty \cdot 0 = 0$, and the functorial convention on the scalar product in [Pal1]. We will omit the symbol Ω in the Hodge-Witten Laplacian operator, when $\Omega = \mathrm{Cst}\, dV_g$. We define the vector space

$$\mathcal{H}^{0,1}_{g,\Omega}\left(T_{X,J}\right) \quad := \quad \mathrm{Ker}\, \Delta^{\Omega,-J}_{T_{X,g}} \cap C^\infty\left(X, T^*_{X,-J} \otimes T_{X,J}\right).$$

It has been showed in [Pal2], that for any smooth J-anti-linear endomorphism section A of the tangent bundle holds the fundamental Bochner type formula

$$\mathcal{L}^\Omega_g A = 2\Delta^{-J}_{T_{X,g}} A + [\mathrm{Ric}^*(g), A] + \nabla_g f \neg \nabla_g A. \tag{13}$$

We observe that for bidegree reasons holds the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\partial}^{*_{g,\Omega}}_{T_{X,J}} A &= \nabla^{*_\Omega}_g A \\
&= \nabla^*_g A + A \nabla_g f \\
&= \overline{\partial}^{*_g}_{T_{X,J}} A + A \nabla_g f.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the last equality we obtain the expression

$$\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}} \overline{\partial}^{*_{g,\Omega}}_{T_{X,J}} A \quad = \quad \overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}} \overline{\partial}^{*_g}_{T_{X,J}} A + \nabla^{0,1}_{g,J} A \nabla_g f + A \partial^g_{T_{X,J}} \nabla_g f.$$

We observe indeed

$$\begin{aligned}
2\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}}\left(A\nabla_g f\right) &= \nabla_g\left(A\nabla_g f\right) + J\nabla_{g,J\cdot}\left(A\nabla_g f\right) \\
&= \nabla_g A \nabla_g f + A\nabla^2_g f + J\nabla_{g,J\cdot} A\nabla_g f + JA\nabla_{g,J\cdot}\nabla_g f \\
&= 2\nabla^{0,1}_{g,J} A\nabla_g f + A\left(\nabla^2_g f - J\nabla_{g,J\cdot}\nabla_g f\right) \\
&= 2\nabla^{0,1}_{g,J} A\nabla_g f + 2A\partial^g_{T_{X,J}}\nabla_g f.
\end{aligned}$$

For bidegree reasons holds also the identites

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\overline{\partial}^{*_{g,\Omega}}_{T_{X,J}} \overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}} A &= \frac{1}{2}\nabla^{*_\Omega}_{T_X,g} \overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}} A \\
&= \nabla^{*_\Omega}_g \overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}} A \\
&= \nabla^*_g \overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}} A + \nabla_g f \neg \overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}} A.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\overline{\partial}^{*_{g,\Omega}}_{T_{X,J}}\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}}A &= \frac{1}{2}\nabla^*_{T_X,g}\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}}A + \nabla_g f\neg\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}}A\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\overline{\partial}^{*_g}_{T_{X,J}}\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}}A + \nabla_g f\neg\nabla^{0,1}_{g,J}A - \nabla^{0,1}_{g,J}A\nabla_g f.\end{aligned}$$

Combining the identities obtained so far we deduce the expression

$$\Delta^{\Omega,-J}_{T_{X,g}}A = \Delta^{-J}_{T_{X,g}}A + \nabla_g f\neg\nabla^{0,1}_{g,J}A + A\partial^g_{T_{X,J}}\nabla_g f. \tag{14}$$

Plugging this in the fundamental identity (13) we obtain the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{\Omega}_g A &= 2\Delta^{\Omega,-J}_{T_{X,g}}A + [\mathrm{Ric}^*(g), A] - 2A\partial^g_{T_{X,J}}\nabla_g f\\ &- \nabla_g f\neg\left(\nabla^{0,1}_{g,J} - \nabla^{1,0}_{g,J}\right)A\\ &= 2\Delta^{\Omega,-J}_{T_{X,g}}A + [\mathrm{Ric}^*(g), A] - 2A\partial^g_{T_{X,J}}\nabla_g f\\ &- (J\nabla_g f)\neg J\nabla_g A.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $A \in \mathcal{H}^{0,1}_{g,\Omega}(T_{X,J})$, then holds the stability identity

$$\left\langle \mathcal{L}^{\Omega}_g A, A\right\rangle_g = -2\left\langle \nabla^2_g f, A^2\right\rangle_g + \left\langle J\nabla_g f\neg\nabla_g A, JA\right\rangle_g. \tag{15}$$

6 Variations of ω-compatible complex structures

Let (X, J, g, ω) be a Fano manifold such that $\omega = \mathrm{Ric}_{_J}(\Omega)$, with $\Omega \in \mathcal{V}_1$ and let $(J_t)_t \subset \mathcal{J}_\omega$ be a smooth curve such that $J_0 = J$. We differentiate the definition $g_t := -\omega J_t$. We obtain $\dot{g}^*_t = -J_t\dot{J}_t$ and $\ddot{g}^*_t = -J_t\ddot{J}_t$. On the other hand, deriving twice the condition $J^2_t = -\mathbb{I}$, we obtain $-(J_t\ddot{J}_t)^{1,0}_{J_t} = \dot{J}^2_t$ and thus $(\ddot{g}^*_t)^{1,0}_{J_t} = (\dot{g}^*_t)^2$. The latter gives

$$(\ddot{g}^*_t)^{0,1}_{J_t} = \ddot{g}^*_t - (\dot{g}^*_t)^2_t = \frac{d}{dt}\dot{g}^*_t.$$

For any endomorphism A of the tangent bundle and for any bilinear form B over it we define the contraction operation $A\neg B := \mathrm{Alt}\,(B \circ A)$, where Alt is the alternating operator and the composition operator $\circ$ act on the first entry of B. Let N_J be the Nijenhuis tensor of an arbitrary almost complex structure J. Then the general formula

$$\frac{d}{dt}N_{J_t} = \dot{J}_t\neg N_{J_t} - \dot{J}_t N_{J_t} + \overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J_t}}\dot{J}_t,$$

(see a computation in [Pal1]), implies $\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J_t}} \dot{J}_t \equiv 0$, in our case. Thus time deriving the identity

$$\frac{d}{dt}\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J_t}} \dot{g}_t^* \quad \equiv \quad 0,$$

we obtain the property

$$\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J_t}} \frac{d}{dt}\dot{g}_t^* = \dot{g}_t^* \neg \nabla^{1,0}_{g_t,J_t} \dot{g}_t^*. \tag{16}$$

Indeed we prove the variation formula

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J_t}}\right) \dot{g}_t^* \quad = \quad -\dot{g}_t^* \neg \nabla^{1,0}_{g_t,J_t} \dot{g}_t^*.$$

For this purpose we expand the derivative of $\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J_t}}$ acting on a smooth endomorphism section A of T_X. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
2\left[\left(\frac{d}{dt}\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J_t}}\right) A\right](\xi,\eta) &= 2\frac{d}{dt}\,\mathrm{Alt}\left[\nabla^{0,1}_{g_t,J_t} A\,(\xi,\eta)\right] \\
&= \mathrm{Alt}\,\frac{d}{dt}\left[\nabla_{g_t} A\,(\xi,\eta) + J_t \nabla_{g_t} A\,(J_t\xi,\eta)\right] \\
&= \mathrm{Alt}\left[\dot{\nabla}_{g_t} A\,(\xi,\eta) + \dot{J}_t \nabla_{g_t} A\,(J_t\xi,\eta)\right] \\
&+ \mathrm{Alt}\left[J_t \dot{\nabla}_{g_t} A\,(J_t\xi,\eta) + J_t \nabla_{g_t} A\left(\dot{J}_t\xi,\eta\right)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Using the variation formula

$$\dot{\nabla}_{g_t} A\,(\xi,\eta) = \dot{\nabla}_{g_t}\,(\xi, A\eta) - A\dot{\nabla}_{g_t}\,(\xi,\eta)\,,$$

and the fact that the bilinear form $\dot{\nabla}_{g_t}$ is symmetric we deduce the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\left[\left(\frac{d}{dt}\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J_t}}\right) A\right](\xi,\eta) \\
= \;& \mathrm{Alt}\left[\dot{\nabla}_{g_t}\,(\xi, A\eta) + \dot{J}_t \nabla_{g_t} A\,(J_t\xi,\eta)\right] \\
+ \;& \mathrm{Alt}\left[J_t \dot{\nabla}_{g_t}\,(J_t\xi, A\eta) - J_t A \dot{\nabla}_{g_t}\,(J_t\xi,\eta) + J_t \nabla_{g_t} A\left(\dot{J}_t\xi,\eta\right)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

We remind now (see [Pal1]), that time deriving the Kähler condition $\nabla_{g_t} J_t \equiv 0$, we obtain the identity

$$\dot{\nabla}_{g_t}(\eta,\xi) \quad + \quad J_t \dot{\nabla}_{g_t}(J_t\eta,\xi) \quad + \quad J_t \nabla_{g_t} \dot{J}_t(\xi,\eta) \quad = \quad 0\,,$$

Using this in the previous formula with $A = \dot{g}_t^* = -J_t \dot{J}_t$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \left[\left(\frac{d}{dt} \overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J_t}} \right) \dot{g}_t^* \right] (\xi, \eta) \\
= \quad & \operatorname{Alt} \Big[-J_t \nabla_{g_t} \dot{J}_t \left(\dot{g}_t^* \eta, \xi \right) - \dot{g}_t^* J_t \nabla_{g_t} \dot{J}_t (\eta, \xi) \Big] \\
+ \quad & \operatorname{Alt} \Big[\dot{J}_t \nabla_{g_t} \dot{g}_t^* \left(J_t \xi, \eta \right) + J_t \nabla_{g_t} \dot{g}_t^* \left(\dot{J}_t \xi, \eta \right) \Big] \\
= \quad & \operatorname{Alt} \Big[\nabla_{g_t} \dot{g}_t^* \left(\dot{g}_t^* \eta, \xi \right) + \dot{J}_t \nabla_{g_t} \dot{g}_t^* \left(J_t \xi, \eta \right) + J_t \nabla_{g_t} \dot{g}_t^* \left(\dot{J}_t \xi, \eta \right) \Big] \\
- \quad & \dot{g}_t^* \partial^{g_t}_{T_{X,J_t}} \dot{g}_t^* \left(\xi, \eta \right) \\
= \quad & \operatorname{Alt} \Big[\nabla^{1,0}_{g_t,J_t} \dot{g}_t^* \left(\dot{g}_t^* \eta, \xi \right) - \nabla^{1,0}_{g_t} \dot{g}_t^* \left(\dot{g}_t^* \xi, \eta \right) \Big] \\
= \quad & -2 \left[\dot{g}_t^* \neg \nabla^{1,0}_{g_t,J_t} \dot{g}_t^* \right] (\xi, \eta) \, ,
\end{aligned}$$

and thus the required formula. The latter can also be obtained deriving the Maurer-Cartan equation, which writes in the Kähler case (see the appendix) as

$$\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}} \mu_t + \mu_t \neg \nabla^{1,0}_{g,J} \mu_t \quad = \quad 0,$$

with μ_t the Caley transform of J_t with respect to J.

We remind now (see [Pal2]), that for any smooth family $(g_t, \Omega_t)_t \subset \mathcal{S}_\omega$, holds the identity

$$\Delta^{\Omega_t, -J_t}_{T_{X,g_t}} \dot{g}_t^* \quad = \quad \left(\Delta^{\Omega_t, -J_t}_{T_{X,g_t}} \dot{g}_t^* \right)^T_{g_t} ,$$

with $J_t := -\omega^{-1} g_t$. The latter rewrites as

$$\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J_t}} \nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t} \dot{g}_t^* = \left(\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J_t}} \nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t} \dot{g}_t^* \right)^T_{g_t} . \tag{17}$$

Lemma 4 *For any smooth family* $(g_t, \Omega_t)_t \subset \mathcal{S}_\omega$, *with* $(g, \Omega) = (g_0, \Omega_0)$ *and* $(\dot{g}_0, \dot{\Omega}_0) \in \mathbb{F}^J_{g,\Omega}$, *holds the symmetry property*

$$\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J_t}} \nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t} \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} \dot{g}_t^* = \left(\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J_t}} \nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t} \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} \dot{g}_t^* \right)^T_g + \left[\partial^g_{T_{X,J}} \nabla^{*\Omega}_g \dot{g}_0^*, \dot{g}_0^* \right] . \tag{18}$$

Proof Let A be a smoth g-symmetric endomorphism section of T_X. Differentiating in the variables (g, Ω) the trivial identity $\nabla^{*\Omega}_g A = g^{-1} \nabla^{*\Omega}_g (gA)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big[\left(D_{g,\Omega} \nabla^{*\bullet}_{\bullet} \right) (v, V) \Big] A \quad = \quad & -v_g^* \nabla^{*\Omega}_g A + g^{-1} \Big[\left(D_{g,\Omega} \nabla^{*\bullet}_{\bullet} \right) (v, V) \Big] (gA) \\
+ \quad & \nabla^{*\Omega}_g \left(v_g^* A \right) .
\end{aligned}$$

We observe now the identities

$$\begin{aligned} M_g(v,v) &= 2g\,\mathrm{Tr}_g\left(\nabla_g v_g^* \cdot v_g^*\right) + \frac{1}{2} d|v|_g^2 \\ &= 2v\nabla_g^{*\Omega} v_g^* - 2g\nabla_g^{*\Omega}\left(v_g^*\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} d|v|_g^2 . \end{aligned}$$

Then using the variation formula (5) we infer the fundamental identity

$$2\left[\left(D_{g,\Omega}\nabla_{\bullet}^{*\bullet}\right)(v,V)\right] v_g^* = \frac{1}{2}\nabla_g |v|_g^2 - 2v_g^* \cdot \left(\nabla_g^{*\Omega} v_g^* + \nabla_g V_\Omega^*\right) . \tag{19}$$

The variation formula for the $\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J_t}}$-operator acting on vector fields in lemma 1 of [Pal3] writes as

$$2\frac{d}{dt}\left(\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J_t}}\xi\right) = \xi\neg\nabla_{g_t}\dot{g}_t^* - \left[\partial_{T_{X,J_t}}^{g_t}\xi, \dot{g}_t^*\right] + \left[\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J_t}}\xi, \dot{g}_t^*\right] .$$

Using this, the variation formula (19) and the assumption on the initial speed of the curve (g_t, Ω_t), we infer

$$\begin{aligned} 2\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0}\left(\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J_t}}\nabla_{g_t}^{*\Omega_t}\dot{g}_t^*\right) &= \nabla_g^{*\Omega}\dot{g}_0^*\neg\nabla_g\dot{g}_0^* \\ &- \left[\partial_{T_{X,J}}^{g}\nabla_g^{*\Omega}\dot{g}_0^*, \dot{g}_0^*\right] + \left[\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}}\nabla_g^{*\Omega}\dot{g}_0^*, \dot{g}_0^*\right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}}\nabla_g|\dot{g}_0|_g^2 + 2\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}}\nabla_g^{*\Omega}\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0}\dot{g}_t^* . \end{aligned}$$

Using this equality, the elementary identity

$$\frac{d}{dt}A_{g_t}^T = [A_{g_t}^T, \dot{g}_t^*],$$

for arbitrary endomorphism section A of T_X and time deriving the identity (17), we obtain the required conclusion. (Notice that the endomorphism section $\partial_{T_{X,J}}^g\nabla_g^{*\Omega}\dot{g}_0^*$ is g-symmetric thanks to the assumption $\nabla_g^{*\Omega}\dot{g}_0^* = -\nabla_g\dot{\Omega}_0^*$). $\square$

Corollary 2 *Let* $(J_t)_t \subset \mathcal{J}_\omega$ *be a smooth curve such that* $\dot{J}_0 \in \mathcal{H}_{g,\Omega}^{0,1}(T_{X,J})$ *then*

$$\nabla_g^{*\Omega}\left(\dot{J}_0\neg\nabla_{g,J}^{1,0}\dot{J}_0\right) = \left[\nabla_g^{*\Omega}\left(\dot{J}_0\neg\nabla_{g,J}^{1,0}\dot{J}_0\right)\right]_g^T .$$

Proof The identity (16) implies

$$\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}}\nabla_g^{*\Omega}\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0}\dot{g}_t^* = \Delta_{T_{X,g}}^{\Omega,-J}\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0}\dot{g}_t^* - \nabla_g^{*\Omega}\left(\dot{g}_0^*\neg\nabla_{g,J}^{1,0}\dot{g}_0^*\right) .$$

Pluging this in the equality (18) and using the fact that the Laplacian term is g-symmetric (see [Pal2]), we infer the required conclusion. $\square$

Lemma 5 *Let* (X, J, g, ω) *be a Fano manifold such that* $\omega = \mathrm{Ric}_{_J}(\Omega)$, *with* $\Omega \in \mathcal{V}_1$ *and let* $(J_t)_t \subset \mathcal{J}_\omega$ *be a smooth curve such that* $J_0 = J$ *and* $\nabla_g^{*_\Omega} \dot{J}_0 = 0$. *Then there exists unique* $(\psi, A_1) \in \Lambda^{\Omega,\perp}_{g,J} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{0,1}_{g,\Omega}(T_{X,J})$ *such that*

$$\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} \dot{g}_t^* + \nabla_g^{*_\Omega} \left(\Delta^{\Omega,-J}_{T_{X,g}}\right)^{-1} \left(\dot{J}_0 \neg \nabla^{1,0}_{g,J} \dot{J}_0\right) \;\; = \;\; \overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}} \nabla_{g,J} \overline{\psi} + A_1 .$$

Proof The identity (16) implies

$$\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}} \left[\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} \dot{g}_t^* + \nabla_g^{*_\Omega} \left(\Delta^{\Omega,-J}_{T_{X,g}}\right)^{-1} \left(\dot{J}_0 \neg \nabla^{1,0}_{g,J} \dot{J}_0\right)\right] \;\; = \;\; 0 .$$

Moreover the endomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} \dot{g}_t^* + \nabla_g^{*_\Omega} \left(\Delta^{\Omega,-J}_{T_{X,g}}\right)^{-1} \left(\dot{J}_0 \neg \nabla^{1,0}_{g,J} \dot{J}_0\right) \\ = \;\; & \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} \dot{g}_t^* + \left(\Delta^{\Omega,-J}_{T_{X,g}}\right)^{-1} \nabla_g^{*_\Omega} \left(\dot{J}_0 \neg \nabla^{1,0}_{g,J} \dot{J}_0\right) , \end{aligned}$$

is g-symmetric thanks to corollary 2, lemma 13 in [Pal2] and identity (14.7) in [Pal2]. By corollary 3 in [Pal2], we infer the required conclusion. Notice that (ψ, A_1) is uniquely determined by $\dot{J}_0$ and $\ddot{J}_0$. □

7 Proof of theorem 1

For any smooth family $(g_t, \Omega_t)_t \subset \mathcal{S}_\omega$, with $(g_0, \Omega_0) = (g, \Omega)$, we consider the smooth curve $t \mapsto \gamma_t := \underline{H}_{g_t, \Omega_t} \Omega_t / \Omega \in C^\infty_\Omega (X, \mathbb{R})_0$. Then $(g_t, \Omega_t)_t \equiv (J_t, \omega)_t$ is a family of Kähler-Ricci solitons if and only if $\gamma_t \equiv 0$. We assume this identity and we notice that $0 = \dot{\gamma}_0 = D_{g,\Omega} \underline{H}(\dot{g}_0, \dot{\Omega}_0)$. We write

$$\dot{g}_0^* = -J \dot{J}_0 = \overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}} \nabla_{g,J} \overline{\theta} + \; 2A,$$

with $(\theta, A) \in \Lambda^{\Omega,\perp}_{g,J} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{0,1}_{g,\Omega}(T_{X,J})$. The properties of the first variation of $\underline{H}$ imply $\theta \in \mathbb{O}^J_{g,\Omega}$. According to the isomorphism τ in remark 1, we pick the unique $u \in \Lambda^{\Omega,\perp}_{g,\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\theta - iu \in \Lambda^{\Omega}_{g,J}$ and we consider the one parameter subgroup of ω-symplectomorphisms $(\Psi_t)_t$, $\Psi_0 = \mathrm{id}_X$, given by $2\dot{\Psi}_t = -\left(\omega^{-1} du\right) \circ \Psi_t$. Then $(\Psi_t^* J_t, \omega)_t$ is still a family of Kähler-Ricci solitons and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} \Psi_t^* J_t \;\; & = \;\; \dot{J}_0 - \frac{1}{2} L_{\omega^{-1} du} J \\ & = \;\; J \overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}} \nabla_{g,J} \overline{(\theta - iu)} + 2JA \\ & = \;\; 2JA . \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can assume, without loss of generality in the statement of the theorem 1, that the family of Kähler-Ricci solitons $(J_t, \omega)_t$ satisfies $\dot{J}_0 \in \mathcal{H}^{0,1}_{g,\Omega}(T_{X,J})$. Using this assumption, we explicit the second variation of the map $(g, \Omega) \longmapsto \underline{H}_{g,\Omega}$. The fact that $\dot{g}^*_0 = 2A$, implies $\dot{\Omega}_0 = 0$, thanks to the equations defining the space $\mathbb{T}^J_{g,\Omega}$. Thus

$$2 \frac{d^2}{dt^2}_{|t=0} \underline{H}_{g_t,\Omega_t} \quad = \quad 2\nabla_G D\underline{H}(g,\Omega)(\dot{g}_0, 0; \dot{g}_0, 0) + 2D_{g,\Omega}\underline{H}\,(\xi, \Xi)\,,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^*_g &:= \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} \dot{g}^*_t, \\ \Xi^*_\Omega &:= \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} \dot{\Omega}^*_t + \frac{1}{4}\,|\dot{g}_0|^2_g - \frac{1}{4} G_{g,\Omega}(\dot{g}_0, 0; \dot{g}_0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that (g, Ω) is a soliton and the first and second variation formulas for Perelman's functions H (in [Pal2] and corollary 1), and $\mathcal{W}$ (in [Pal2]), we infer

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \frac{d^2}{dt^2}_{|t=0} \underline{H}_{g_t,\Omega_t} &= \nabla_G D\,(2H - \mathcal{W})\,(g,\Omega)(\dot{g}_0, 0; \dot{g}_0, 0) + 2D_{g,\Omega} H\,(\xi, \Xi) \\ &= -2\left\langle \mathcal{L}^\Omega_g A, A \right\rangle_g - (\Delta^\Omega_g - 2\mathbb{I})\,|A|^2_g - 2\int_X |A|^2_g\,\Omega \\ &+ \quad 2\int_X |A|^2_g\,F\,\Omega + 2(\Delta^\Omega_g - \mathbb{I})\Xi^*_\Omega - \operatorname{div}^\Omega \nabla^{*_\Omega}_g \xi^*_g \\ &= 2\int_X |A|^2_g\,F\Omega - 2\left\langle \mathcal{L}^\Omega_g A, A \right\rangle_g + \Delta^\Omega_g\,|A|^2_g \\ &+ \quad 2(\Delta^\Omega_g - \mathbb{I}) \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} \dot{\Omega}^*_t - \operatorname{div}^\Omega \nabla^{*_\Omega}_g \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} \dot{g}^*_t. \end{aligned}$$

Using lemma 5 and the weighted complex Bochner formula (13.9) in [Pal2], we obtain

$$\nabla^{*_\Omega}_g \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} \dot{g}^*_t = \overline{\partial}^{*_{g,\Omega}}_{T_{X,J}} \overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}} \nabla_{g,J} \overline{\psi} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{g,J} \overline{(\Delta^\Omega_{g,J} - 2\mathbb{I})\psi}, \tag{20}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}^\Omega \nabla^{*_\Omega}_g \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} \dot{g}^*_t &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta^\Omega_g R_\psi + \frac{1}{2} B^\Omega_{g,J} I_\psi, \\ R_\psi &:= \operatorname{Re}\left[(\Delta^\Omega_{g,J} - 2\mathbb{I})\psi\right], \\ I_\psi &:= \operatorname{Im}\left[(\Delta^\Omega_{g,J} - 2\mathbb{I})\psi\right]. \end{aligned}$$

(Here we use the notation $z = \operatorname{Re} z + i \operatorname{Im} z$, for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$). Differentiating the tangential identity $2dd^c_{J_t}\dot{\Omega}^*_t = -d\left[\nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t}\dot{g}^*_t \neg \omega\right]$, we obtain,

$$2dd^c_J \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0}\dot{\Omega}^*_t \;=\; -d\left[\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0}\left(\nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t}\dot{g}^*_t\right)\neg\omega\right].$$

Using the variation formula (19), and the identity (20) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0}\left(\nabla^{*\Omega_t}_{g_t}\dot{g}^*_t\right) &= \frac{1}{4}\nabla_g|\dot{g}_0|^2_g + \nabla^{*\Omega}_g\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0}\dot{g}^*_t\\ &= \nabla_g|A|^2_g + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_{g,J}\overline{(\Delta^\Omega_{g,J} - 2\mathbb{I})\psi}.\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0}\dot{\Omega}^*_t \;=\; -\frac{1}{2}R_\psi - |A|^2_g + \int_X |A|^2_g\Omega.$$

We obtain in conclusion the variation formula

$$\begin{aligned}2\frac{d^2}{dt^2}_{|t=0}\underline{H}_{g_t,\Omega_t} &= 2\int_X |A|^2_g F\Omega - 2\left\langle \mathcal{L}^\Omega_g A, A\right\rangle_g\\ &- \left(\Delta^\Omega_g - 2\mathbb{I}\right)|A|^2_g - 2\int_X |A|^2_g\,\Omega\\ &- \frac{1}{2}(\Delta^\Omega_g - 2\mathbb{I})R_\psi + \frac{1}{2}B^\Omega_{g,J}I_\psi\\ &= -2\left\langle J\nabla_g f\neg\nabla_g A, JA\right\rangle_g + 4\left\langle\nabla^2_g f, A^2\right\rangle_g + 2\int_X |A|^2_g F\Omega\\ &- \left(\Delta^\Omega_g - 2\mathbb{I}\right)|A|^2_g - 2\int_X |A|^2_g\,\Omega - \frac{1}{2}P^\Omega_{g,J}\operatorname{Re}\psi,\end{aligned}$$

thanks to identity (15) and a computation in the proof of lemma 25 in [Pal2]. We denote respectively by π_1 and π_2 the projection to the first and second factor of the decomposition (4). Then the identity

$$0 = \pi_2\ddot{\gamma}_0 \;=\; \pi_2\frac{d^2}{dt^2}_{|t=0}\underline{H}_{g_t,\Omega_t},$$

is equivalent to the identity

$$\int_X u_1\left[4\left\langle\nabla^2_g f, A^2\right\rangle_g - 2\left\langle J\nabla_g f\neg\nabla_g A, JA\right\rangle_g - \left(\Delta^\Omega_g - 2\mathbb{I}\right)\,|A|^2_g\right]\Omega = 0, \qquad (21)$$

for any $u = u_1 + iu_2 \in \Lambda^{\Omega}_{g,J}$, with u_1, u_2, real valued. We observe now the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X u_1(\Delta^{\Omega}_g - 2\mathbb{I})\, |A|^2_g\, \Omega &= -\int_X B^{\Omega}_{g,J} u_2\, |A|^2_g\, \Omega\\ &= \int_X u_2 B^{\Omega}_{g,J}\, |A|^2_g\, \Omega\\ &= \int_X u_2\, (J\nabla_g f) \,.\, |A|^2_g\, \Omega\\ &= 2\int_X u_2\, \langle J\nabla_g f \neg \nabla_g A, A\rangle_g\, \Omega.\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that the identity (21) is equivalent to

$$2\int_X u_1 \left\langle \nabla^2_g f, A^2\right\rangle_g \Omega \;=\; \int_X \left\langle J\nabla_g f \neg \nabla_g A, i\overline{u} \times_J A\right\rangle_g \Omega,$$

which shows the required conclusion.

8 Appendix

8.1 Proof of the identities (10) and (11)

By definition of the Ω-divergence operator and using the symmetry of A we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{div}^{\Omega}(A\cdot\xi) &= g(\nabla_{g,e_k}(A\cdot\xi), e_k) - g(A\cdot\xi, \nabla_g f)\\ &= g(\nabla_{g,e_k} A\cdot\xi + A\cdot\nabla_{g,e_k}\xi, e_k) - g(\xi, A\cdot\nabla_g f)\\ &= g(\xi, \nabla_{g,e_k} A\cdot e_k - A\cdot\nabla_g f) + g(\nabla_{g,e_k}\xi, Ae_k),\end{aligned}$$

and thus the identity (10). We expand now the term

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{div}^{\Omega}\,\mathrm{Tr}_g(\nabla_g A\cdot A) &= \mathrm{div}^{\Omega}(\nabla_{g,e_k} A\cdot Ae_k)\\ &= g(\nabla_{g,e_l}(\nabla_{g,e_k} A\cdot Ae_k), e_l) - g(\nabla_{g,e_k} A\cdot Ae_k, \nabla_g f)\\ &= g(\nabla_{g,e_l}\nabla_{g,e_k} A\cdot Ae_k + \nabla_{g,e_k} A\cdot\nabla_{g,e_l} A\cdot e_k, e_l)\\ &- g(Ae_k, \nabla_{g,e_k} A\cdot\nabla_g f).\end{aligned}$$

Expanding further we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div}^{\Omega} \operatorname{Tr}_g \left(\nabla_g A \cdot A\right) &= g\left(Ae_k, \nabla_{g,e_l} \nabla_{g,e_k} A \cdot e_l\right) + g\left(\nabla_{g,e_l} A \cdot e_k, \nabla_{g,e_k} A \cdot e_l\right) \\
&- g\left(Ae_k, \nabla_{g,e_k} A \cdot \nabla_g f\right) \\
&= g\left(Ae_k, \nabla_{g,e_l} \widehat{\nabla_g A}\left(e_l, e_k\right) - \widehat{\nabla_g A}\left(\nabla_g f, e_k\right)\right) \\
&+ \left\langle \widehat{\nabla_g A}, \nabla_g A \right\rangle_g ,
\end{aligned}$$

and thus the identity (11).

8.2 The Maurer-Cartan equation in the Kähler case

We observe that for any vector spaces V and E, we can define a contraction operation

$$\begin{aligned}
\neg \,:\, (\Lambda^p V^* \otimes V) \times (\Lambda^q V^* \otimes E) &\longrightarrow \Lambda^{p+q-1} V^* \otimes E \\
(\alpha, \beta) &\longmapsto \alpha \neg \beta,
\end{aligned}$$

by the expression

$$(\alpha \neg \beta)(\xi) \quad := \sum_{|I| = \deg \alpha} \varepsilon_I \beta(\alpha(\xi_I), \xi_{\complement I}).$$

This map restricts to

$$\neg \,:\, \mathcal{E}^{0,p}\left(T_X^{1,0}\right) \times \mathcal{E}^{r,q} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{r-1,p+q}.$$

We notice indeed the identity $\alpha \neg \beta = \bar{\zeta}_I^* \wedge (\alpha_I \neg \beta)$, where $\alpha = \alpha_I \otimes \bar{\zeta}_I^*$, with $(\zeta_k)_k \subset C^\infty(U, T_{X,J}^{1,0})$ a local frame. (We use from now on the Einstein convention for sums). Obviously, the contraction operation $\neg$, generalises the one used in the previous sections.

Lemma 6 (Expression of the exterior Lie product). *Let (X, J, ω) be a Kähler manifold and let $\alpha, \beta \in C^\infty(X, \Lambda_J^{0,\bullet} T_X^* \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T_{X,J}^{1,0})$. Then holds the identity*

$$[\alpha, \beta] = \alpha \neg \partial^{\omega}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}} \beta - (-1)^{|\alpha||\beta|} \beta \neg \partial^{\omega}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}} \alpha.$$

Proof In the case $|\alpha| = |\beta| = 0$, the identity follows from an elementary computation in geodesic holomorphic coordinates. In order to show the general case, let $(\zeta_k)_k \subset \mathcal{O}(U, T^{1,0}_{X,J})$ be a local frame. We consider the local expressions $\alpha = \alpha_K \otimes \bar{\zeta}^*_K$, $\beta = \beta_L \otimes \bar{\zeta}^*_L$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}[]
[\alpha,\beta] &= [\alpha_K,\beta_L] \otimes \left(\bar{\zeta}^*_K \wedge \bar{\zeta}^*_L\right)\\
&= \left(\alpha_K \neg \partial^{\omega}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}} \beta_L - \beta_L \neg \partial^{\omega}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}} \alpha_K\right) \otimes \left(\bar{\zeta}^*_K \wedge \bar{\zeta}^*_L\right).
\end{aligned}$$

The identity $\overline{\partial}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}} \zeta_k = 0$ implies $\partial_J \bar{\zeta}^*_K = 0$. We infer

$$\partial^{\omega}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}} \alpha = \partial^{\omega}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}} \alpha_K \wedge \bar{\zeta}^*_K,$$

and a similar local expression for β. Thus using the identity

$$\alpha \neg \gamma = \bar{\zeta}^*_K \wedge (\alpha_K \neg \gamma),$$

with γ arbitrary, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha \neg \partial^{\omega}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}} \beta &= \left(\alpha_K \neg \partial^{\omega}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}} \beta_L\right) \otimes \left(\bar{\zeta}^*_K \wedge \bar{\zeta}^*_L\right),\\
\beta \neg \partial^{\omega}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}} \alpha &= \left(\beta_L \neg \partial^{\omega}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}} \alpha_K\right) \otimes \left(\bar{\zeta}^*_L \wedge \bar{\zeta}^*_K\right)\\
&= (-1)^{|\alpha||\beta|} \left(\beta_L \neg \partial^{\omega}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}} \alpha_K\right) \otimes \left(\bar{\zeta}^*_K \wedge \bar{\zeta}^*_L\right),
\end{aligned}$$

and thus the required conclusion. □

We deduce that over a Kähler manifold the Maurer-Cartan equation

$$\overline{\partial}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J_0}} \theta + \frac{1}{2}[\theta,\theta] = 0,$$

writes as

$$\overline{\partial}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}} \theta + \theta \neg \partial^{\omega}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}} \theta = 0. \tag{22}$$

We show below that we can rewrite the Maurer-Cartan equation in equivalent real terms as

$$\overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}} \mu + \mu \neg \nabla^{1,0}_{g,J} \mu = 0, \tag{23}$$

or in more explicit terms

$$(\mathbb{I} + \mu) \neg J \nabla_g \mu = (\mathbb{I} + \mu) J \neg \nabla_g \mu.$$

In order to show (23) we expand, for any $u, v \in T_X$, the term

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\theta \neg \partial^{\omega}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}} \theta\right)(u,v) &= \partial^{\omega}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}} \theta(\theta u, v) + \partial^{\omega}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}} \theta(u, \theta v)\\
&= \nabla^{1,0}_{g,J}\theta(\theta u, v) - \nabla^{1,0}_{g,J}\theta(v, \theta u)\\
&+ \nabla^{1,0}_{g,J}\theta(u, \theta v) - \nabla^{1,0}_{g,J}\theta(\theta v, u).
\end{aligned}$$

Expanding further we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
2\left(\theta\neg\partial^{\omega}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}}\theta\right)(u,v) &= \nabla_g\theta\,(\theta u,v)-i\nabla_g\theta\,(J\theta u,v)\\
&- \nabla_g\theta\,(v,\theta u)+i\nabla_g\theta\,(Jv,\theta u)\\
&+ \nabla_g\theta\,(u,\theta v)-i\nabla_g\theta\,(Ju,\theta v)\\
&- \nabla_g\theta\,(\theta v,u)+i\nabla_g\theta\,(J\theta v,u)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that θ takes values in $T^{1,0}_{X,J}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
2\left(\theta\neg\partial^{\omega}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}}\theta\right)(u,v) &= 2\nabla_g\theta\,(\theta u,v)-\nabla_g\theta\,(v,\theta u)+i\nabla_g\theta\,(Jv,\theta u)\\
&- 2\nabla_g\theta\,(\theta v,u)+\nabla_g\theta\,(u,\theta v)-i\nabla_g\theta\,(Ju,\theta v)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Replacing on the right hand side of this equality the identity $2\theta=\mu-iJ\mu$ and adding the conjuguate of both sides we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
&8\left(\theta\neg\partial^{\omega}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}}\theta\right)(u,v)+8\overline{\left(\theta\neg\partial^{\omega}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}}\theta\right)(u,v)}\\
=\;& 4\nabla_g\mu\,(\mu u,v)-4J\nabla_g\mu\,(J\mu u,v)\\
-\;& 2\nabla_g\mu\,(v,\mu u)+2J\nabla_g\mu\,(v,J\mu u)\\
+\;& 2\nabla_g\mu\,(Jv,J\mu u)+2J\nabla_g\mu\,(Jv,\mu u)\\
+\;& 2\nabla_g\mu\,(u,\mu v)-2J\nabla_g\mu\,(u,J\mu u)\\
-\;& 2\nabla_g\mu\,(Ju,J\mu v)-2J\nabla_g\mu\,(Ju,\mu v)\\
-\;& 4\nabla_g\mu\,(\mu v,u)+4J\nabla_g\mu\,(J\mu v,u)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the anti J-linearity of $\nabla_{g,\xi}\mu$ we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
& 8\left(\theta \neg \partial^{\omega}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}}\theta\right)(u,v) + 8\overline{\left(\theta \neg \partial^{\omega}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}}\theta\right)(u,v)} \\
= \;& 4\nabla_g\mu\,(\mu u, v) - 4J\nabla_g\mu\,(J\mu u, v) \\
- \;& 4\nabla_g\mu\,(\mu v, u) + 4J\nabla_g\mu\,(J\mu v, u) \\
= \;& 8\nabla^{1,0}_{g,J}\mu\,(\mu u, v) - 8\nabla^{1,0}_{g,J}\mu\,(\mu v, u) \\
= \;& 8\left(\mu \neg \nabla^{1,0}_{g,J}\mu\right)(u,v)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

The latter combined with

$$\overline{\partial}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}}\theta\,(u,v) + \overline{\overline{\partial}_{T^{1,0}_{X,J}}\theta\,(u,v)} \quad = \quad \overline{\partial}_{T_{X,J}}\mu\,(u,v)\,,$$

and (22) implies the required identity (23).

References

[Bes] Besse, A.L., *Einstein Manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, 2007.

[Ebi] Ebin, D.G. *The manifolds of Riemannian metrics*, in Proceedings of the AMS Sym- posia on Pure Marthematics, XV, (1970)

[Fut] Futaki, A. *Kähler-Einstein metrics and Integral Invariants*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1314. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988, 437-443.

[Ha-Mu] Hall, S., Murphy, T., *Variation of complex structures and the stability of Kähler-Ricci Solitons*, arXiv:1206.4922, (2012), Pacific J. Math. 265 (2013), no. 2, 441454.

[Pal1] Pali, N., *The total second variation of Perelman's $\mathcal{W}$-functional*, arXiv:1201.0969, (2012), Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 50 (2014), no. 1-2, 115144.

[Pal2] Pali, N., *The Soliton-Ricci Flow vith variable volume forms*, arXiv:1406.0806 (2014).

[Pal3] Pali, N., *Variation formulas for the complex components of the Bakry-Emery-Ricci endomorphism*, arXiv:1406.0805, (2014), Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 60 (2015), no. 5, 635-667.

[Per] Perelman, G., *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, arXiv:math/0211159.

[Po-Sp] Podestà, F., Spiro, A., *On moduli spaces of Ricci solitons*, arXiv:1302.4307, (2013), J. Geom. Anal. 25 (2015), no. 2, 11571174.

Nefton Pali
Université Paris Sud, Département de Mathématiques
Bâtiment 425 F91405 Orsay, France
E-mail: *nefton.pali@math.u-psud.fr*